

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,015	12/02/2003	Andrew Geall	1530.0610001/EKS/J-H	3181
	7590 12/20/2006 SLER GOLDSTEIN & F	EXAMINER		
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			SCHNIZER, RICHARD A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	<del></del>
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/20/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/725,015	GEALL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Richard Schnizer, Ph. D.	1635		

	Richard Schnizer, Ph. D.	1635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which R 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee the action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<ul> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej		ne issues ioi
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	` ''		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 23-27.  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-22.		II be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  3. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered buse See Continuation Sheet.	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		•

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed limitation "wherein said method does not include thermal cycling of the mixture above and below the cloud point of said block copolymer" would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims stand rejected for the reasons of record. Applicant's arguments were fully considered but were unpersuasive because they are based on the proposed amendment that was not entered.

RICHARD SCHNIZER, PH.D.